SINGLE BENCH (B)

Chitral (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1274 **/2006**

PETITIONER:- Ajit Pratap Singh S/o- Late Amresh Pratap Singh Aged about 29 years, Caste- Chhatriya R/o- Village – Lakhanpur Post- Lakhanpur Tahsil – Ambikapur Distt- Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 766/06
Presented by Shri Vinay Pandey
dated 13/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :- 1) Manager
M.P. State Cooperative Land Development Bank Ambikapur Distt- Surguja (C.G.)

2) Collector,
Ambikapur Distt- Surguja (C.G)

3) Tahsildar,
Lakhanpur Tahsil- Ambikapur Distt- Surguja (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
13/12/06
CLERK TO A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(137)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1274 **सन्** 06 **2006**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.9.2006 Smt. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Neeraj Choubey, counsel for respondent no.1. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents no.2 and 3. Heard. By way of this writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to respondent no.1 to give the details of actual due amount payable by the petitioner towards Bank in the loan case for which case No.7/2004-05 was pending before the Deputy Registrar, Co-Operative Societies, Sarguja, Ambikapur. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had taken certain loan from the M.P. State Cooperative Development Bank, Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja for purchasing a Tractor and he has also made repayments in this regard. She further submits that even after making periodical repayments in this regard, huge amount against the petitioner has been determined by the Bank and the Bank is bent upon to adopt the process of auction and they are also bent upon to adopt coercive methods. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit the outstanding amount provided a correct statement of account is supplied to him. She prays for only this relief. This limited relief sought by learned counsel for the petitioner, at the time of hearing, is not opposed by learned counsel for respondent no.1. He submits that he will be supplying a copy of	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(138)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1274 सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	statement of account kept in the book of the Bank according to the rules, to the petitioner, within a period of 15 days from today. Regarding mode of supply, he submits that the statement of accounts shall be sent by Regd. Post with Acknowledgment due to the recorded address of the petitioner in the loan account and the receipt will be kept by the Bank. In view of the above submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the statement made by learned counsel for respondent no.1, this petition is finally disposed of with a direction that respondent no.1 shall supply a copy of the statement of loan account to the petitioner within a period of 15 days from today, in the manner indicated above. This petition stands finally disposed of. C.C. as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)